	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examinar Initiated Interview Summan	09/975,442	DERVIN ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew Caldwell	2142
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Andrew Caldwell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Scott Stinebruner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 July 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11 and 18 Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a value ate record of the substance of 	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
andrew Caldwell		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned the Applicants' attorney, Scott Stinebruner, to discuss the arguments raised in the Appeal Brief filed on January 23, 2006. The Examiner offered to allow all claims in the application if claims 11 and 18 were amended to include the language limiting those claims to systems wherein the notification of an update from a first to a second version occurs after all members are updated. The Examiner faxed a copy of a proposed amendment to claims 11 and 18 that would be acceptable. The proposed amendment also included changes to claim 18 that would address the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. The facsimile sent to the Applicants is attached to this interview summary and labelled as "Attachment A." After reviewing the amendment, the Applicants' attorney replied with a proposed amendment to claims 11 and 18 that he argued would better reflect the Applicants' invention. The Examiner agreed that the language proposed by the Applicants was more accurate. The Examiner agreed that the amendment would place the Application in condition for allowance. The draft amendment sent to the Examiner is attached to this summary and labelled as "Attachment B." On August 1, 2006, the Applicants' attorney provided the Examiner with amendments to the claims to be inserted into an examiner's amendment. A copy of this paper is not attached to this interview summary since it is included in the text of the examiner's amendment to which this interview summary is attached.

Attachment to Interview Sunmary Andrew Caldwell

Andrew Caldwell U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Art Unit 2142, Randolph 04E71 401 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

facsimile transmittal

То:	Scott Stinebruner Fax:		(513) 241-6234	
From:	Andrew Caldwell	Date:	7/31/2006	
Re:	09/975,442 (IBM/204)	Pages:	4 (including cover)	
CC:				
☐ Urge	ent	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
				·

Notes:

Proposed amendment to the claims.

4. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein updating the cluster infrastructure software in an individual node comprises shutting down the node, installing cluster infrastructure software on the node, and restarting the node.

- 5. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein shutting down the node includes removing a member that is resident on the node from the group and wherein restarting the node includes adding the member to the group.
- 6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein notifying comprises sending a ordered message to the group.
- 7. (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein notifying comprises sending a membership change message with an adjust version reason code.
- 8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising verifying that all nodes are active prior to notifying the group.
- 9. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising verifying that the group is not partitioned prior to notifying the group.
- 10. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising verifying that all nodes are capable of running the updated cluster infrastructure version prior to notifying the group.
 - 11. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:
 - (A) a node configured to participate in a clustered computer system, the node having resident thereon cluster infrastructure software and at least one member of a group; and,

Page 3 of 14
Serial No. 09/975,442
Amendment and Response dated June 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of March 15, 2005
IBM Docket ROC920010168US1
WHAE IBM/204
K-Whit204Amendment and Response to 3-15-05 QA.wg

- (B) program code resident in the node, the program code configured to members notify the member of an update to the cluster infrastructure software from a first version to a second version, and to dynamically update a cluster infrastructure version used by the member to that of the updated cluster infrastructure software; wherein the second version of the cluster infrastructure software has different program code from the first version of the cluster infrastructure software.
- 12. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the updated cluster infrastructure software includes at least one new function, whereby the group has access to the new function subsequent to dynamically updating the cluster infrastructure version used by the node.
- 13. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the notification is made using ordered messaging.
- 14. (Original) The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the notification is made via a membership change message with an adjust version reason code.
- 15. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the program code is further configured to verify that the node is active prior to notifying the member and, if the node is not active, to return an error message.
- 16. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the program code is further configured to verify that the group is not partitioned prior to notifying the member and, if the group is partitioned, to return an error message.

Page 4 of 14

Serial No. 09/975,442

Amendment and Response dated June 15, 2005

Reply to Office Action of March 15, 2005

IBM Docket ROC920010168US1

WHAE IBM/204

WHAM Docket ROC920010168US1

- 17. (Original) The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the program code is further configured to determine whether the node is capable of running the updated cluster infrastructure software prior to notifying the member and, if the node is not capable of running the updated cluster infrastructure software, to return an error message.
 - 18. (Currently Amended) A program product, comprising:
 - (A) program code configured to reside on a node that participates in a clustered computer system and that further has resident thereon cluster infrastructure software and at least one member of a group, the program code configured to notify the member of an update to the cluster infrastructure software from a first version to a second version, and to dynamically update a cluster infrastructure version used by the member to that of the updated cluster infrastructure software; and record only the program code;

 (B) a signal-bearing medium bearing the program code;

of all members of the group

(B) a signal-bearing medium bearing the program code;

wherein the second version of the cluster infrastructure software has different program

code from the first version of the cluster infrastructure software.

- 19. (Original) The program product of claim 18, wherein the updated cluster infrastructure software includes at least one new function, whereby the group has access to the new function subsequent to dynamically updating the cluster infrastructure version used by the node.
- 20. (Original) The program product of claim 18, wherein the notification is made using ordered messaging.
- 21. (Original) The program product of claim 20, wherein the notification is made via a membership change message with an adjust version reason code.

Page 5 of 14
Serial No. 09/975,442
Amendment and Response dated June 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of March 15, 2005
IBM Docket ROC920010168US1
WH&E IBM/204
KVBnX004Amendment and Response to 3-15-05 OA was



Proposed Amendment - S/N 09/975,442

11. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:

- (A) a node configured to participate in a clustered computer system, the node having resident thereon cluster infrastructure software and at least one member of a group; and,
- (B) program code resident in the node, the program code configured to notify the member of an update to the cluster infrastructure software on all nodes in the clustered computer system having a member of the group from a first version to a second version, and to dynamically update a cluster infrastructure version used by the member to that of the updated cluster infrastructure software; wherein the second version of the cluster infrastructure software has different program code from the first version of the cluster infrastructure software.

18. (Currently Amended) A program product, comprising:

- (A) program code configured to reside on a node that participates in a clustered computer system and that further has resident thereon cluster infrastructure software and at least one member of a group, the program code configured to notify the member of an update to the cluster infrastructure software on all nodes in the clustered computer system having a member of the group from a first version to a second version, and to dynamically update a cluster infrastructure version used by the member to that of the updated cluster infrastructure software; and,
- (B) a <u>recordable type</u> signal-bearing medium bearing the program code; wherein the second version of the cluster infrastructure software has different program code from the first version of the cluster infrastructure software.